

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassackin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opub.com

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/506,802 | 09/07/2004 | Katsuya Watanabe | Q83437 | 6804 |
| 65565 7590 07/13/2009 SUGHRUE-265550 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LIAO, DIANA J | |
| WASHINGTO | ON, DC 20037-3213 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1793 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/13/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/506,802
 WATANABE ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 DIANA J. LIAO
 1793

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

| | · | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| (1) <u>Diana Liao (examiner)</u> . | (3)William Childs (attorney). | | | | |
| (2) Steven Bos (primary examiner). | (4)Brett Sylvester (attorney). | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>08 July 2009</u> . | | | | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant | 2)⊠ applicant's representative] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>5</u> . | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: all applied. | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. | g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art of record and the combination made thereof was discussed in regards to the prima facie case of obviousness made in the rejection. Comparative examples in the specification were also discussed to make a showing of unexpected results. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | |

/Steven Bos/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793